

United States Patent and Trademark Office

p

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/666,790 09/17/2003	Hisashi Tsukamoto	Q137-US8 9005	
31815 7590 07/05/2007 MARY ELIZABETH BUSH QUALLION LLC P.O. BOX 923127		EXAMINER	
		YUAN, DAH WEI D	
SYLMAR, CA 91392-3127		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		1745	
		MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		07/05/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	App	plicant(s)
Office Action Summary		10/666,790	TSU	JKAMOTO ET AL.
		Examiner	Art	Unit
		Dah-Wei D. Yuan	174	5
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover s	heet with the corres	pondence address
A SH WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE and the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COM 36(a). In no event, however vill apply and will expire SIX , cause the application to be	MUNICATION. , may a reply be timely file (6) MONTHS from the material ecome ABANDONED (35)	d illing date of this communication.
Status				
·	,·	action is non-final.	·	•
Dispositi	on of Claims			
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 55 and 66-88 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 55 and 66-88 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from considerati		
Applicati	on Papers			
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	epted or b) object drawing(s) be held in ion is required if the c	abeyance. See 37 Crawing(s) is objected	CFR 1.85(a). I to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a)l	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priorical application from the International Bureausee the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been receive s have been receive rity documents have u (PCT Rule 17.2(a	ed. ed in Application No be been received in)).	o
Attachmen	t(s)	·		
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Inform	te of References Cited (PTO-892) te of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 04112007.	5) <u> </u>	erview Summary (PTO- per No(s)/Mail Date tice of Informal Patent / ner:	·

Application/Control Number: 10/666,790 Page 1 of 6

Art Unit: 1745

ELECTRIC STORAGE BATTERY CONSTRUCTION AND METHOD OF MANUFACTURE

Examiner: Yuan S.N. 10/666,790 Art Unit: 1745 May 31, 2007

Detailed Action

- 1. The Applicant's amendment filed on April 11, 2007 was received. Claim 55 was amended.
- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S.C. code not included in this action can be found in the prior Office Action issued on April 11, 2007.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The claim rejections under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as anticipated by Gartstein et al. (US 2002/0001745 A1) on claims 55,66-71,85,87,88 are withdrawn, because Applicant's arguments are persuasive.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claims 55,66-71,85,87,88 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Gartstein et al. (US 2002/0001745 A1) in view of Nemoto et al. (US 6,378,561 b1)

With respect to claim 55, Gartstein et al. teach an electrical storage battery comprising an case (14) sealed by a first end cap (16) and a second end cap (22), wherein neither the case, the first end cap nor the second end cap has fill hole as shown in Figure 3. The battery further comprises an electrically conductive terminal pin (26) extending to the first end cap, which is

Application/Control Number: 10/666,790 Page 2 of 6

Art Unit: 1745

electrically insulated from the case. The cathode (32) of the electrode assembly is in electrical communication with the pin, whereas the anode (34) of the electrode assembly is in electrical communication with second end cap via a conductive bottom plate (19) (flexible conductive tab). See paragraphs 55-59,62. However, Gartstein et al. do not teach the electrically conductive terminal pin extending through the first end cap. Nemoto et al. teach an electrical storage battery comprising an case sealed by a first end cap (22) and a second end cap (21), wherein the electrically conductive terminal pin (13) extends through the first cap to extract the current of the battery outward. See Column 11, Line 58 to Column 12, Line 18. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to extend the terminal pin through the end cap of the battery of Gartstein, because Nemoto et al. teach the use of such terminal pin to extract the current of the battery outward.

With respect to claims 66,68, Gartstein et al. the conductive bottom plate extends from a location adjacent to the case to a second location wherein the plate is electrically connected to the second end cap (22). See Figure 3.

With respect to claim 67, Gartstein et al. teach welds (contacts between the anode and the conductive bottom plate) connect the plate to the second end cap. See Figure 3.

With respect to claim 69, Gartstein et al. teach the second end cap is connected to the plate for a distance that is not longer than the radius shown in the second end cap. See Figure 3.

With respect to claims 70,71, Gartstein et al. teach the electrode assembly has a spirally wound jelly roll structure, which include at least one separator separating the electrodes. See Paragraph 57.

With respect to claim 85, Gartstein et al. teach at least one weld connects the cathode to the pin. See Figure 3.

With respect to claim 87, Gartstein et al. teach the terminal pin is hermetically sealed to the insulting washer or seal (24), which insulates the first end cap. See Paragraph 55.

With respect to claim 88, Gartstein et al. teach the case is electrically conductive. See Paragraph 62.

Double Patenting

5. Claims 55,66-88 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 43-45,66-86 of copending Application No. 10/665,687. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the conflicting claims in the examined application claim fall entirely within the scope of the copending 10/665,687 application.

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments filed on April 11, 2007 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicant's principal arguments are

Both the present application and the '687 application result from the restriction requirement rendered on the parent application.

Application/Control Number: 10/666,790 Page 4 of 6

Art Unit: 1745

In response to Applicant's arguments, please consider the following comments.

There are situations wherein the prohibition of double patenting rejections under 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply. In particular, the claims of the different applications are not consonant with the restriction requirement made by the examiner, since the claims have been changed in material respects from the claims at the time the requirement was made. For example, the divisional application filed includes additional claims not consonant in scope to the original claims subject to restriction in the patent. *Symbol Technologies, Inc. v. Opticon, Inc.*, 935 F.2d 1569, 19 USPQ2d 1241 (Fed. Cir. 1991) and *Gerber Garment Technology, inc. v. Lectra Systems, Inc.*, 916 F.2d 683, 16 USPQ2d 1436 (Fed. Cir. 1990). See MPEP 804.01. In the instant case, the independent claim 55 has been substantively amended so does the independent claim 43 in the '687 application. Obviousness-type double patenting rejections on claims 55,66-88 as being unpatentable over claims 43-45,66-86 of copending Application No. 10/665,687 are deemed proper and thus maintained.

Conclusion

7. Applicant's submission of an information disclosure statement under 37 CFR 1.97(c) with the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(p) on April 11, 2007 prompted the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 609.04(b). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dah-Wei D. Yuan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1295. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday (8:00-5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patrick J. Ryan, can be reached on (571) 272-1292. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (571) 273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

Art Unit: 1745

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Dah-Wei D. Yuan May 31, 2007

> DAH-WE(YUAN DRIMARY EXAMINER